

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/537,554	VOLKEL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Daniel P. Stephenson	3672

All Participants:

(1) Daniel P. Stephenson.

Status of Application: allowed

(3) _____.

(2) Henry Feiereisen.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 September 2007

Time: 10:30

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called applicant to inform him that after discussing the case with his supervisor the method claims appeared to be in condition for allowance. However, The system claims were not. The examiner related that a final action on the system claims (6-8) could be sent out, or the applicant could cancel these claims and then the case would be allowable. The applicant agreed to cancel these claims through an Examiner's amendment.